## Appendix 1 – District Wide Dog Fouling PSPO Consultation Results

746	responses	received
740	1 CONOLISCO	received

	_						_
1.	$D \cap V \cap I$	ıı live	work	or visit	West.	I indca	17
<b>_</b> .		u IIVC,	VVOIN	OI VISIL	VVCSt	LIIIUSC	

59 (7.9%) Visit

122 (16.4%) Work

733 (98.4%) Live

2. Is uncleared dog fouling an issue in your locality?

491 (66.3%) Yes

250 (33.7%) No

3. Do you support the extension of a PSPO which requires a member of the public when in control of a dog to remove dog fouling immediately where it occurs on any land which is open to the air, and to which the public have a right or entitlement of access to?

717(96.6%) Yes

25 (3.4%) No

4. Do you support the extension of a PSPO which requires a member of the public when in control of a dog to dispose of dog fouling in a general waste bin or dog waste bin?

720 (97.0%) Yes

22 (3.0%) No

5. Do you support a PSPO which requires a member of the public when in charge of a dog, if directed by an authorised officer, to produce a device or other suitable means (i.e. a dog poo bag) of removing dog faeces and transporting it to a bin (whether or not the dog has defecated)?

657 (88.8%) Yes

83 (11.2%) No

6. Any other comments you wish to make about the consultation?

383 comments received